



**10:00 a.m. – Noon, Monday November 3, 2016**  
**Intensive Services Division Meeting**  
**Lutheran Community Services**  
**4040 South 188<sup>th</sup> Street, SeaTac WA 98188, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor**

<p><b>Members Present:</b> Brian Carroll, Carol Almero, Chuck Cole, Ellie Carrithers, Jen Kamel, Julie Robinson, Kris Sanborn, Kymm Dozal, Linda Thomas, Michael Yu, Mary Johnson, Megan Kelly, Nikki Brown, Rachel Stewart-MacTavish, Rhianna Brill, Shawn Sivly, Terry Pottmeyer</p>	<p><b>Members Absent:</b> Andrew Hill, April Stallings, Dave Kucklick, DeAnn Adams, Erin Hathaway, Heather Hanson, Jeff Judy, Jenn Ryan, Jessie DiPardo, Josh Fullerton, Julie Robinson, Katie Bass, Mark Fullington, Nancy Nicholas, Nichole Southard, Rhianna Brill, Rod Johnson, Roger Iino, Ryan Kiely, Sara Schumacher, Shelly Hahn, Tom Rembiesa</p>
--	--

Agenda Item	Discussion	Decisions
<b>1. Call to order</b>		
<b>2. Meri Waterhouse</b>	Meri had to cancel due to a family emergency.	
<b>3. OFM Meeting and Follow-up</b>	<p>The meeting with Rich Pannkuk at OFM was reviewed as was the follow-up meeting with Rayanna Williams. Rayanna Williams had wanted the opportunity to talk with Jenny Heddin before moving forward in order to avoid any cross or conflicting efforts. Rich Pannkuk sent a follow-up email asking for 4 things:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Costs for providing services – WACF will use one agency’s costs – for each level of services as representative of all of the providers at that service level</li> <li>• When was the last vendor rate increase and how much was it?</li> <li>• What are the downstream costs if a vendor rate is not approved?</li> <li>• What are the benefits to the service recipients if a vendor rate increase occurs?</li> </ul> <p>Rich is asking that this information be sent to him by Monday, the 7<sup>th</sup>.</p>	Members agreed that sending one representative budget for each level of service would be appropriate.

Agenda Item	Discussion	Decisions
<b>4. Meeting with Coordinated Care</b>	<p>The presentation by Coordinated Care was quickly reviewed with several points highlight:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Coordinated Care will be responsible for the full behavioral health care of all children, youth, and young adults in foster as well as those who have been adopted or “aged out” of the system in 2018.</li> <li>• They will need solutions to the behavioral health care needs of those “lives.” We are the providers that know and currently serve those children, youth, and young adults.</li> <li>• Coordinated Care is looking at integrated behavioral and physical health care by 2018.</li> <li>• Coordinated Care has been doing this for foster care in Texas. Examples of how they may approach providers could be available by looking at that system.</li> </ul>	<p>The membership asked if we could have someone from Coordinated Care come to address the issue of obtaining health care information from physical health care providers to enable integrated care coordination to occur.</p>
<b>5. Legislative Agenda</b>	<p>Brian Carroll up-dated the group. With this new effort by OFM, the plan is to hold on creating a legislative, one page “ask” until there is more clarity on the OFM/CA approach to a vendor rate increase.</p>	<p>There was no dissent to the proposed plan.</p>
<b>6. Quarterly Meetings with Michael Campbell</b>	<p>Michael Campbell is the head of the Intensive Services Division in CA. It would be helpful to have him attend the WACF Intensive Services Division meetings once a quarter.</p>	<p>The membership agreed that Karen will reach out to him with an additional ask that there by a teleconference follow-up meeting with all of the BRS Program Managers the month after the meeting with him to insure consistency of messaging.</p>
<b>7. BRS Foster Care Recruitment – Follow-up Discussion</b>	<p>A review of the prior month’s discussion was made. The question was posed about whether there should be a two-phase approach – step one would be clarifying what a new foster care system would look like and the second step would be to decide how to approach CA. A question was also presented about whether this is a topic that should be tackled by the Balmer/Giddens/POC discussion about foster care – the Foster Care initiative.</p>	<p>Members felt that discussing a different system can happen in both meetings – WACF and FC initiative. The more conversation the better. Approaching CA will need to be planned.</p>